

## REMARKS

### The Amendments

Claims 2 and 3 are amended for clarity.

Claim 16 is amended to clarify the meaning of the claim.

No new matter is introduced in the amendments.

The amendments are necessary to overcome the Final Rejection. The amendments do not raise a new issue or require a new search.

The Examiner is requested to enter the amendments and re-consider the application.

### The Response

#### **35 U.S. C. 112, Second Paragraph Rejection**

Claim 2 is amended to clarify that “the cell” is partially filled. Therefore, the 112, second paragraph rejection should be withdrawn.

#### **Double-Patenting Rejection**

Claims 1 and 22-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double-patenting over Claims 11, 24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,927,892. Applicants are submitting herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate the rejection.

#### **Rejoinder**

Upon the allowance of the generic Claims 1 and 22, Applicants request that the Examiner consider Claims 33-61, which are directed to additional species and are written in dependent form (37 CFR §1.141).

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants believe that the application is in good and proper condition for allowance.  
Early notification of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 26, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Viola T. Kung (Reg. No. 41,131)

**HOWREY LLP**  
2941 Fairview Park Drive  
Box 7  
Falls Church, VA 22042  
Ph. (650) 798-3570  
Fax (650) 798-3600